

# CS11-747 Neural Networks for NLP Neural Semantic Parsing

Pengcheng Yin pcyin@cs.cmu.edu Language Technologies Institute Carnegie Mellon University



[Some contents are adapted from talks by Graham Neubig]

### The Semantic Parsing Task

Motivation how to represent the meaning of the sentence?

Task Parsing natural language utterances into formal meaning representations (MRs)





### The Semantic Parsing Task

**Task-specific Meaning Representations** designed for a specific task (e.g., question answering) **General-purpose Meaning Representations** capture the semantics of natural language



**Meaning Representations** The boy wants to go (want-01 :arg0 (b / boy) :arg1 (g / go-01)) Abstract Meaning Representation (AMR)

Example: Smart Personal Agent Question Answering Systems

Example: AMR, Combinatory Categorical Grammar (CCG)



## Workflow of a (Task-specific) Semantic Parser

#### User's Natural Language Query

Show me flights from Pittsburgh to Seattle

#### **Parsing to Meaning Representation**

lambda \$0 e (and (flight \$0)
 (from \$0 san\_Francisco:ci)
 (to \$0 seattle:ci))



#### **Execution Results (Answer)**

1. AS 119 2. AA 3544 -> AS 1101 3. ...

Build natural language interfaces to computers



### Task-specific Semantic Parsing: Datasets

- Domain-specific Meaning Representations and Languages
  - GEO Query, ATIS, JOBS
  - WikiSQL, Spider
  - IFTTT
- General-purpose Programming Languages
  - HearthStone
  - Django
  - CoNaLa



### GEO Query, ATIS, JOBS

- ATIS 5410 queries about flight booking
- GEO Query 880 queries about US geographical information
- JOBS 640 queries to a job database

Language Technologies Institute





Table: C	FLDraft		Question:		
Pick #	CFL Team	Player	Position	College	How many CFL teams are from York College?
27	Hamilton Tiger-Cats	Connor Healy	DB	Wilfrid Laurier	SOL :
28	Calgary Stampeders	Anthony Forgone	OL	York	SELECT COUNT CFL Team FROM
29	Ottawa Renegades	L.P. Ladouceur	DT	California	CFLDraft WHERE College = "York"
30	Toronto Argonauts	Frank Hoffman	DL	York	Result.
					2

- 80654 examples of Table, Question and Answer
- **Context** a small database table extracted from a Wikipedia article
- Target a SQL query



### IFTTT Dataset

- Over 70K user-generated task completion snippets crawled from ifttt.com
- Wide variety of topics: home automation, productivity, etc.
- Domain-Specific Language: IF-THIS-THEN-THAT structure, much simpler grammar



https://ifttt.com/applets/1p-autosaveyour-instagram-photos-to-dropbox





*Autosave your Instagram photos to Dropbox* 



IF Instagram.AnyNewPhotoByYou
THEN Dropbox.AddFileFromURL

Domain-Specific Programming Language



### HearthStone (HS) Card Dataset

- Description: properties/fields of an HearthStone card
- Target code: implementation as a Python class from HearthBreaker



#### **Intent (Card Property)**

<name> Divine Favor </name> <cost> 3 </cost> <desc> Draw cards until you have as many in hand as your opponent </desc>

#### **Target Code (Python class)**



## Django Annotation Dataset

- Description: manually annotated descriptions for 10K lines of code
- Target code: one liners
- Covers basic usage of Python like variable definition, function calling, string manipulation and exception handling

Intent	call the function _generator, join the result into a string,
	return the result

Target return ''.join(\_generator())



### The CoNALA Code Generation Dataset

Get a list of words `words` of a file 'myfile' words = open('myfile').read().split()

Copy the content of file 'file.txt' to file 'file2.txt'

Check if all elements in list `mylist` are the same
 len(set(mylist)) == 1

Create a key `key` if it does not exist in dict `dic` and append element `value` to value dic.setdefault(key, []).append(value)

- 2,379 training and 500 test examples
- Manually annotated, high quality natural language queries
- Code is highly expressive and compositional
- Also ship with 600K extra mined examples!





### Learning Paradigms

#### **Supervised Learning**

**Utterances with Labeled Meaning Representation** 

#### Weakly-supervised Learning

**Utterances with Query Execution Results** 

#### **Semi-supervised Learning**

Learning with Labeled and Unlabeled Utterances



### Learning Paradigm 1: Supervised Learning

#### **User's Natural Language Query**

Show me flights from Pittsburgh to Seattle

#### **Parsing to Meaning Representation**

lambda \$0 e (and (flight \$0)
 (from \$0 san\_Francisco:ci)
 (to \$0 seattle:ci))

Train a neural semantic parser with source natural language query and target meaning representations



### Sequence-to-Sequence Learning with Attention



- Treat the target meaning representation as a sequence of surface tokens
- Reduce the task as another sequence-to-sequence learning problem



[Jia and Liang, 2016; Dong and Lapata, 2016]

### Sequence-to-Sequence Learning with Attention

- Meaning Representations (e.g., a database query) have strong underlying structures!
- **Issue** Using vanilla seq2seq models ignore the rich structures of meaning representations





[Jia and Liang, 2016; Dong and Lapata, 2016]

### Structure-aware Decoding for Semantic Parsing

- Motivation utilize the rich syntactic structure of target meaning representations
- Seq2Tree Generate from top-down using hierarchical sequence-to-sequence model





Show me flight from Dallas departing after 16:00

[Dong and Lapata, 2016]

### Structure-aware Decoding (Cont'd)

- **Coarse-to-Fine Decoding** decode a coarse sketch of the target logical form first and then decode the full logical form conditioned on both the input query and the sketch
- Explicitly model the coarse global structure of the logical form, and use it to guide the parsing process



[Dong and Lapata, 2018]

### Grammar/Syntax-driven Semantic Parsing

- Previously introduced methods only added structured components to the decoding model
- Meaning representations (e.g., Python) have strong underlying syntax
- How can we **explicitly** model the underlying syntax/grammar of the target meaning representations in the decoding process?







## Grammar/Syntax-driven Semantic Parsing

• Key idea: use the grammar of the target meaning representation (Python AST) as prior knowledge in a neural sequence-to-sequence model



## Grammar/Syntax-driven Semantic Parsing

- Factorize the generation story of an AST into sequential application of *actions*  $\{a_t\}$ :
  - ApplyRule[r]: apply a production rule r to the frontier node in the derivation
  - GenToken[v]: append a token v (e.g., variable names, string literals) to a terminal



### TranX: a General-Purpose Syntax-Driven Semantic Parser

 Support five different meaning representations: Python 2 & 3, SQL, lambdacalculus, prolog



Language Technologies Institute

Open sourced at <a href="https://pcyin.me/tranX">https://pcyin.me/tranX</a>

[Yin and Neubig, 2018]

## Side Note: Importance of Modeling Copying

- Modeling copying is very important for neural semantic parsers!
- Out-of-vocabulary entities (e.g., city names, date time) often appear in the input query
- Neural networks like to hallucinate entities not included in the input query <sup>(C)</sup>





## Side Note: Importance of Modeling Copying

• Given a token *v*, marginalize over the probability of copying *v* from the input and generating *v* from the close vocabulary



[Gu et al, 2016]

### Importance of Modeling Copying: Examples

**Intent** *join app\_config.path and string 'locale' into a file path, substitute it for localedir.* 

Pred. localedir = os.path.join(app\_config.path, 'locale')

- **Intent** *self.plural is an lambda function with an argument n, which returns result of boolean expression n not equal to integer 1*
- Pred. self.plural = lambda n: len(n) X
- Ref. self.plural = lambda n: int(n!=1)
- Intent <name> Burly Rockjaw Trogg </name> <cost> 5 </cost> <attack> 3 </attack> <defense> 5 </defense> <desc> Whenever your opponent casts a spell, gain 2 Attack. </desc> <rarity> Common </rarity> ...



tokens copied from input

[Yin and Neubig, 2017]

## Supervised Learning: the Data Inefficiency Issue

#### **Supervised Parsers are Data Hungry**



Purely supervised neural semantic parsing models require large amounts of training data

#### Data Collection is Costly

Copy the content of file 'file.txt' to file 'file2.txt'
shutil.copy('file.txt','file2.txt')

Get a list of words `words` of a file 'myfile'
words = open('myfile').read().split()

Check if all elements in list `mylist` are the same
len(set(mylist)) == 1

Collecting parallel training data costs and

\*Examples from conala-corpus.github.io [Yin et al., 2018] 1700 USD for <3K Python code generation examples



### Learning Paradigm 2: Weakly-supervised Learning



Train a semantic parser using natural language query and the execution results (a.k.a. Semantic Parsing with Execution)

Weak supervision signal

[Clarke et al., 2010; Liang et al., 2011]

### Weakly-supervised Parsing as Reinforcement Learning



### Learning Objective: Marginalizing Over Candidate Queries





• Intuitively, the gradient from each candidate logical form is weighted by its normalized probability. The more likely the query is, the higher its weight

### Weakly-supervised Learning Issue 1: Spurious Logical Forms

• Spurious Queries: queries that have the correct execution result, but are semantically wrong

What is the most populous city in United States?



- Solutions:
  - Encourage diversity in gradient updates by updating different hypotheses with roughly equal weights (Guu *et al.*, 2017)
  - Use prior lexical knowledge to promote promising hypotheses. E.g., *populous* has strong association with  $\lambda x$ .population(x) (Misra *et al.*, 2018)



## Weakly-supervised Learning Issue 2: Search Space

- The space of possible logical forms with correct answers is exponentially large
- Key Issue logical forms are symbolic and indifferentiable
- How to search candidate logical forms more efficiently?

$$\nabla \log p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{y}^{*}|\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{z}: \text{answer}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \boldsymbol{y}^{*} \\ \text{Prohibitively Large} \\ \text{Search Space}}} w(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \nabla \log p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x})$$



### Efficient Search: Single Step Reward Observation



Factorize the reward into each single time step (a.k.a., reward shaping) [Suhr and Artzi, 2018]

### Efficient Search: Cache High-rewarding Queries



- Use a memory buffer to cache high-rewarding queries sampled so far
- During training, bias towards high-rewarding queries in the memory buffer

[Liang et al., 2018]

## Learning Paradigm 3: Semi-supervised Learning

#### Natural Language Query

Show me flights from Pittsburgh to Seattle

#### **Labeled Meaning Representation**

```
lambda $0 e (and (flight $0)
    (from $0 san_Francisco:ci)
    (to $0 seattle:ci))
```

#### **Unlabeled Natural Language Query**

Show me flights from Pittsburgh to Seattle

**Parsing to Meaning Representation** 

```
lambda $0 e (and (flight $0)
    (from $0 san_Francisco:ci)
    (to $0 seattle:ci))
```

As unobserved latent variable

Learning with

- Limited amounts of labeled natural language query and meaning representation
- Relatively large amounts of unlabeled natural language query

### Learning with Labeled and Unlabeled Utterances

#### Limited Amount of Labeled Data

		ि	2	Ì
	ο		×	
C	<u>.</u>	Ý		
	000	488		

- Sort my list in descending order
- sorted(my\_list, reverse=True) ۰<u>۰</u>۰



```
Copy the content of file 'file.txt' to file
'file2.txt'
shutil.copy('file.txt',
                       'file2.txt')
```



Check if all elements in list `mylist` are the same

#### **Extra Unlabeled Utterances**<sup>\*</sup>







Convert a list of integers into a single integer



Format a datetime object `when` to extract date only



Swap values in a tuple/list in list `mylist`

م م BeautifulSoup search string 'Elsie' inside tag 'a'

#### Convert string to lowercase

\*Examples from conala-corpus.github.io [Kočiský et al., 2016]

### Programs as Tree-structured Latent Variables



### Semi-supervised Learning with STRUCTVAE



### Conclusion 1: Pipeline of a Semantic Parser

#### User's Natural Language Query

Show me flights from Pittsburgh to Seattle

#### **Parsing to Meaning Representation**

lambda \$0 e (and (flight \$0)
 (from \$0 san\_Francisco:ci)
 (to \$0 seattle:ci))



#### **Execution Results (Answer)**

1. AS 119 2. AA 3544 -> AS 1101 3. ...



### Conclusion 2: Three Learning Paradigms

#### **Supervised Learning**

Utterances with Labeled Meaning Representation

#### Weakly-supervised Learning

**Utterances with Query Execution Results** 

#### **Semi-supervised Learning**

Learning with Labeled and Unlabeled Utterances



### Challenge: Natural Language is Highly Compositional

Q: what was James K. Polk before he was president?



Meaning Representation in SPARQL Query

• Sometimes even a short NL phrase/clause has complex structured grounding



[Yin et al., 2015]

### Challenge: Scale to Open-domain Knowledge

- Most existing works focus on parsing natural language to queries to structured, curated knowledge bases
- Most of the world's knowledge has unstructured, textual form!
  - Machine Reading Comprehension tasks (e.g., SQUAD) use textual knowledge



### Final Notes: Challenges

Depth of Semantic Compositionality





(Figure taken from Pasupat and Liang, 2015)